

# C++ Topics

**Jonathan Hoyle**

**Eastman Kodak**

**2/8/01**

# Overview

- **Constructors**
- **Destructors**
- **References**
- **Const**
- **Q & A**

# Constructors

# Constructors

- ...are called only *after* object memory is allocated (not called if **new** fails)
- ...are invoked *after* its base class constructors are completed
- ...are not inherited
- ...do not have return values, can't "fail"
- ...cannot be called directly
- ...do not have function addresses
- ...cannot be declared **static** or **virtual**

# Default Constructor

- Constructors with no parameters (or parameters which all have defaults)
- If no constructor is defined for a class, a public default constructor is implied
- The empty parantheses are not used when invoking the default constructor:

```
TypeName IDname;           // default constr  
TypeName IDname( );       // extern func
```

# Constructors with 1 parameter

- Can be constructed with either ( ) or =:

```
class X
{ X( ); // default constructor
  X(int i); // int constructor
  X &operator=(int i); // assignment operator
  void operator( )(int i); }; // fcn operator

X a1 = 0; // a1: int constructor
X a2; // a2: default constructor
a2 = 0; // followed by assignment

X b1(0); // b1: int constructor
X b2; // b2: default constructor
b2(0); // followed by function
```

# Explicit Constructor

- Construction with = can be suppressed with the **explicit** keyword:

```
class XString
{
    public:
        XString(char *inString);
        explicit XString(int inSize);
};
```

```
XString x1("Hello"); // uses char* constructor
XString x2 = "World"; // uses char* constructor
XString x3(256); // uses int constructor
XString x4 = 128; // compiler error
```

# Copy Constructors & Assignments

- Copy Constructors have this prototype:

```
TypeName::TypeName(TypeName &inVar);
```

- If no constructor is defined, a (bitwise) public copy constructor is implied

- Check code for overlap situations:

```
// The code below fails for "x = x;"  
X &X::operator=(const X &inVar)  
{  
    memset(mString, 0, 256);  
    strcpy(mString, inVar.mString);  
}
```



# What is a “Static Constructor”?

- Technically, there is no such thing in C++
- Usually term is used to describe a **static** method which creates an object:

```
class X
{
    public:
        X( );
        ~X( );
        static X *Create( )
        { return new X; }
};
```

# What is a “Virtual Constructor”?

- Technically, there is no such thing in C++
- Describes a way to create an object whose type is determined at runtime:

```
class Base { ... };  
class Derived1: public Base { ... };  
class Derived2: public Base { ... };
```

```
Base *Base::Create(int inType)  
{  
    if (inType == 1) return new Derived1;  
    if (inType == 2) return new Derived2;  
    return new Base;  
}
```

# What is an “Anonymous Constructor”?

- Technically, there's...no wait! It is in C++!
- It's the construction of an object without explicitly assigning it to a variable

```
class X // Class Declaration for X
{
    public: // Constructor for X
        X(int x); // taking an int
};

int foo(X inVar); // Prototype of fcn using X
foo(X(1)); // Anonymously constructing
// X from 1
```

# Constructing & Memory Allocating

- What if you want the memory allocation to take place independently from construction?
  - Allocation & Construction at the same time:  
`X *xPtr = new X;`
  - Allocation without Construction:  
`X *xPtr = (X *) new char[sizeof(X)];`
  - Construction without Allocation:  
`new (xPtr) X;`

# Constructing Arrays of Objects

- Trivial when using the default constructor:

```
X myArray[10]; //uses default constructor
```

- How do you do it without using the default?

```
class X
{
    public:
        X(char *inString, int inSize = 256);
};

// Constructor arguments must be array-listed
X myArr[3] = { "Hi", X("C++"), X("C", 100) };
```

# Constructor Errors

- Since Constructors cannot “fail” and do not have a return value, here are some options:
  - Require a separate *initialization* method to be invoked before the object can be used
  - Include a reference to an error parameter in the constructor
  - Throw an exception

# Constructor/Initialization pair

- Essentially a two-part construction
- They're “zombie objects” until initialized

```
class X // declaration
{
    public:
        X( ); // constructor
        bool init( ); // initializer
};

X x; // x constructed
if (x.init( )) // x initialized
```

# Constructor Error Parameter

- Requires the user to check the error after construction:

```
class X // declaration
{
    public:
        X(bool &outVal); // constructor
};

bool ifOK = false; // bool check
X x(ifOK); // construct x
if (ifOK) // check error
{ ...
```



# Constructor Exception

- You've jumped out of the object's scope
- Object never lived, destructor not called

```
try                // must create a try block
{
    X x;           // construct x
}

catch (bool inError)
{
    // Handle error
}
```

# Bad Constructor Error Handling

- Why wouldn't this work?

```
bool X::init( )
{ /* Do error checking */ }

X::X( )
{
    bool ifOK = init( ); // Call init code
    if (!ifOK)
    { // If init fails,
        delete this; // delete object
        this = NULL; // set to NULL
    }
}
```

# Constructor Gotcha's

- Do not assume polymorphic behavior from virtual functions inside constructors
- Don't use `this` too early:

```
// "this" allocated but not fully constructed  
X::X( ) { ... foo(this); ... }
```

- Be careful of ambiguity between type conversions and constructors:

```
X::X(const Y &) { ... } // Does x=y use this?  
X::X(const X &) { ... } // Or the copy constr  
Y::operator X&( ) { ... } // after conversion?
```

# Destructors

# Destructors

- ...are called *before* object memory is deallocated (not called if `delete` on `NULL`)
- ...are completed *before* its base class destructors are invoked
- ...are not inherited
- ...have only one prototype, no parameters
- ...may be called directly
- ...cannot be declared `static`
- ...can be `virtual` (and even pure virtual)

# Virtual Destructors

- Necessary for polymorphism
- You almost always want to make it virtual

```
class Base { ... };  
class Derived: public Base { ... };
```

```
Base *bPtr = new Derived; // Create Derived  
DoStuff(bPtr);           // Do stuff  
delete bPtr;             // Delete Derived?
```

- In above example, Derived's destructor will never get called if it's not **virtual**.

# Pure Virtual Destructors

- Destructors can be pure virtual as well:

```
class X
{
    public:
        X( );
        virtual ~X( ) = NULL;
};
```

- The class necessarily becomes abstract
- Subclasses are not (dest' s not inherited)
- Must implement destructor, even if pure

# Destructing & Memory Deallocating

- What if you want the memory deallocation to take place independently from destruction?
  - Deallocation & Destruction at the same time:  
`delete xPtr;`
  - Deallocation without Destruction:  
`delete (void *) xPtr; //if using new`  
`delete [ ] (void *) xPtr; //if using new [ ]`
  - Destruction without Deallocation:  
`xPtr->~X();`



# References

# Pass by Reference

- Allows variables to be modified without having to check pointer validity
- Const reference passing gives better performance than pass by value:

```
void foo(X inObj);           // less optimal  
void foo(const X &inObj);   // more optimal
```

- Types must match, no conversion:

```
void incr(long &x) { x++; } // increments long  
  
short x = 12;           // x stays 12 since only  
incr(x);                // a temp copy is changed
```

# Reference variables

- “References are synonyms, not objects.”

```
int *ptr1 = aPtr;           // ptr1 takes up space
int &ref1 = myInt;         // ref1 does not!
```

- Types must be exact:

```
unsigned int uInt = 0;
int &ref2 = uInt;          // Error!
```

```
int intArray[10];
int *&ref3 = intArray;    // Error!
```

- Must be assigned at time of declaration
- Can't have arrays of references

Const

# const pointers

- Read from right to left (mostly):

```
const T *p;           // ptr to a const T
const T *const p;    // const ptr to const T
T const *p;          // ptr to a const T
T *const p;          // const ptr to a T
T const *const p;    // const ptr to const T
```

- Note that `const T *p == T const *p`

- enum's or const's?

- enum definitions do not take up memory
- const allow freer additions

# const member functions

- Indicate method will not change object:

```
void X::foo( ); // foo( ) might change x
void X::bar( ) const; // bar( ) will not
```

- Functions operating on const objects are free to call const methods:

```
void ExamineX(const X &inObject)
{
    inObject.foo( ); // Error! Can't use foo
    inObject.bar( ); // OK! bar is safe to use
}
```

# const\_cast<>

- Very dangerous, allows you to overwrite const data:

```
void foo(const int &inVal)
{
    int &theVal = const_cast<int &>(inVal);
    theVal++;
}

int x = 5;    // Set our variable to 5
foo(x);      // Should be OK, foo claims const
cout << x;   // Oh no! x is now 6!
```

# logical const vs. bitwise const

- The intention behind `const_cast< >` is to allow changes to the “bitwise state” to a class while leaving the “logical state”.
- For example, performing diagnostics, optimization or caching.
- `const_cast< >` changes to an object in read-only memory is undefined behavior
- Better than `const_cast< >`, use the new `mutable` keyword



# const\_cast<> vs. mutable

- Variables declared **mutable** are free to be modified even if the method is **const**:

```
class X
{
    public:
        double getData( ) const;
        { mCount++; return mData; }

    protected:
        double      mData;
        mutable int mCount;
};
```



Q

&

A

